Stratigraphy, structure and geology of Late Miocene Verkhneavachinskaya caldera with basaltic–andesitic ignimbrites at Eastern Kamchatka
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We describe a new caldera-volcano in the volcanic front of Kamchatka named Verkhneavachinskaya caldera (VC). According to geological mapping, the VC is interpreted as an eroded shield volcano with a summit caldera exposing 1 km-thick lava-like ignimbrites. It is one of the largest (10 × 12 km diameter) and oldest (c. 5.78–5.58 Ma, Ar–Ar dating) morphologically preserved paleovolcano in Kamchatka. The welded ignimbrites with fiammes are andesites–basaltic andesites in composition, they are more mafic than most ignimbrites worldwide and than other post-Pliocene calderas in Kamchatka. The deposits of VC are interbedded layers of welded ignimbrites and volcanoclastic material, which we interpret as result of long-lived volcanic center activity with hot pyroclastic flows and subsequent accumulations of volcanoclastic suites (e.g. lahars). The most trace element ratios in VC rocks (e.g. La/Yb,Nb/La,Ba/Th) show similarity with post-accretion magmatism at Kamchatka and especially with Late Pleistocene–Holocene Bakening volcano located in the same area. The VC provides new insights into the early stages of Kamchatka frontal zone development after the Kronotsky Arc Terrane accretion. This potentially explains the origin of voluminous basaltic–andesitic ignimbrites formed on thin crust during the initial stage of the arc formation.
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1. Introduction

The Kamchatka Arc is a “land of calderas” with the largest number of collapse calderas per unit of arc length on Earth (Hughes and Mahood 2008; Bindeman et al. 2010). It is thus obvious that studies of products of pyroclastic and caldera-forming eruptions are important for volcanic hazard mitigation and for learning about the caldera collapse mechanisms. Worldwide, the majority of volcanoes and calderas produce ignimbrites with silicic compositions; only a few morphologically-expressed calderas with ignimbrites of more mafic composition are known from some island arcs. It is more common that basic–intermediate compositions are present as a minor component within deposits of silicic calderas (Global Volcanism Program 2013) but not as an independent and dominant eruptive material leading to caldera formation.

This paper deals with a newly described Verkhneavachinskaya caldera (VC; Leonov et al. 2011). This means ‘upper Avachan’, because of it is location upstream of the Levaya Avacha river (Figs 1–2). The VC is predominantly mafic, without significant known felsic counterparts. Such unusual calderas with basaltic–andesite–andesitic magma compositions are rare globally and thus their origin is still poorly understood. The main topic of the present research is to describe the nature and understand processes of formation of such unusual basaltic–andesitic ignimbrites. They clearly require a particular geological setting in continental arcs worldwide, including Kamchatka.

Summarizing the previous studies of the VC, no researchers have correctly identified ignimbrite-like deposits as caldera deposits or, at least, as an important Pliocene magmatic center. Furthermore, the compositions of these deposits were not well characterized. In this paper we define a single large eroded volcanic structure named as Verkhneavachinskaya caldera (VC).
The main goals of the present paper are the field identification of types of the volcanogenic deposits, determination of ignimbrites areal distribution and assessing geological conditions required for genesis of ignimbrites with basaltic andesite–andesitic composition.
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**Fig. 1** The present-day geodynamic setting of the Kamchatka Peninsula. Position in the western Pacific (a) and the local tectonic configuration showing large pre-Holocene calderas (b) with ages from Bindeman et al. (2010). Abbreviations: EVB – Eastern Volcanic Belt, CKD – Central Kamchatka Depression, SK – Southern Kamchatka, SR – Sredinny Range, MPZ – Malko-Petropavlovsk Zone of dislocations, PK – city of Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky. Position of Kronotsky Arc Terrane accretion is shown according to Lander and Shapiro (2007). e–d – Southern part of EVB with location of the Verkhneavachinskaya Caldera (VC) and findings of basaltic–andesitic ignimbrites. Abbreviations of large caldera-forming eruptions according to Bindeman et al. (2010): USP – Uzon–Shorokoe Plateau, BS – Bolshoy Semyachik, SS – Stena–Soboliny, O – Odnoboky, Kr – Korneva river ignimbrites, P – Polovinka, K – Karymschina caldera. Boundary of intra-caldera deposits of VC, studied in this paper, is also shown.
Fig. 2 Schematic map and geological profiles of VC intra-caldera deposits (a) in the headwaters of the Levaya Avacha and Kavycha rivers, and cross sections (b–c). Contacts of intra-caldera deposits with pre-caldera and post-caldera stage deposits are illustrated in a greater detail in Figs 7–8. Geologic map modified from Leonov et al. (2011).
2. Geological setting

2.1. General tectonic framework

The Kamchatka Arc is located at the northeastern convergent boundary of the Eurasian and Pacific plates, below which the Pacific Plate has been subducting at the convergence rate of ~9 cm/year for the past 10–20 Ma at least based on reconstructed trajectories of Pacific islands (Geist and Scholl 1994).

Assembly of Kamchatka took place via sequential accretion of fragments and possibly a subduction polarity switch in Eocene (~54 Ma, Hourigan et al. 2009; Konstantinovskaya 2011). It was followed by an accretion of the Kronotsky Arc Terrane proceeding from south (Shipunsky cape, 7 Ma) to north (e.g., Kronotsky cape, 5 Ma and Kamchatsky cape, 2 Ma). Initially, this accretion with Kamchatka caused the Late Eocene extinction of the northern part of the older subduction zone. It was followed by a subduction jump, and formation of the present subduction zone c.150 km eastwards. New subduction zone was initiated at c. 7 Ma ago and gradually expanded northwards during at least 5 Myr (Lander and Shapiro 2007) (Fig. 1). The hypothesis assuming slab jumping and ensuing trench migration has been supported by geochemical and isotopic data of Volynets et al. (1994) and Avdeiko et al. (2007). Finding diachronous (since 12 Ma till Holocene) Nb-enriched basalts with adakitic signatures was interpreted as reflecting the slab jump and formation of slab window during Kronotsky Arc Terrane accretion (Avdeiko and Bergal-Kuvikas 2015).

2.2. Eastern Volcanic belt (EVB)

The modern volcanism of Kamchatka is concentrated in three zones parallel to the trench. These are, from east to west: Eastern Volcanic belt (EVB), the Central Kamchatka Depression (CKD), and the Sredinny Range (SR) (Fig. 1). Chemical compositions show systematic variations from the volcanic arc front (Komarovsky volcano) to the back-arc (Iichinsky volcano) and indicate the involvement of distinct sources (Churikova et al. 2001).

The basement of EVB is built by deposits of continent slope, including siliceous–volcanogenic deposits with chert intercalations among pillow basalts. According to their radiolarian assemblage, these cherts were dated as Campanian (72–83 Ma) (Levina et al. 1983; Savelyev et al. 2005). Basaltic lava fields were found in the basement of EVB upstream of the Levaya Zhupanova river and on the Tumrok Mt. (Shantser and Kraevaya 1980) (Fig. 3). According to Ar–Ar dating of basalts from the Levaya Zhupanova river, the primary subduction magmas in EVB were formed in Mid–Late Miocene (7–12 Ma). These basalts are characterized by strongly enriched OIB-like compositions with enriched mantle plume (EM1) isotopic contents (Hoernle et al. 2009). Volynets et al. (1997) proposed a two-component mixing model for the generation of Miocene subduction magmas under the EVB. The Sr–Nd–Pb and O isotopic data provide further evidence that the sources of the Late Cenozoic volcanism of the within-plate and island-arc geochemical types were different. Differences in mantle wedge produced melting of the enriched mantle (EM1 type) in Middle Miocene. Later, magmatism tapped the peridotite mantle wedge (N-MORB type) due to slab’s dehydration since Late Miocene to Pliocene–Quaternary times (Volynets et al. 1997).

2.3. Verkhneavachinskaya caldera

The Verkhneavachinskaya caldera (VC) is located in EVB, 105 km NW of the capital city Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky (Fig. 1). The investigated area belongs to the springheads of the Levaya Avacha and Kavycha rivers (Fig. 2) forming a horst called Avachinsky Range, which extends 20–25 km from north to south. High rates of uplift (at least 1.5 km since its formation at ~5.5 Ma; Nikolaev 1977) and erosion were responsible for a quick uplift and exposure of thick intra-caldera sections and made this area accessible to detailed geological studies.

The ignimbrite fields with exclusively basic–intermediate compositions in the VC area of Kamchatka were studied previously by several researchers. Von Ditmar (1901) was the first who described conglomerates of trachytic rocks in basement of the largest watershed of Kamchatka, in upper streams of Avacha, Bustraya and Kamchatsky rivers. Bogdanovich (1904) examined areas covered by voluminous pyroclastic and volcaniclastic materials and suggested their connection to the older large monogenic (single collapse) calderas. Others were ascribed to later (Holocene) Bakening, Avachinsky and Kozelsky polygenetic stratovolcanoes that formed on the rim of caldera. Piip (1941) thought that these Holocene volcanocanoes belonged to arc-perpendicular linear zones. Origin of andesitic pyroclastic deposits upstream of Avacha river was correlated with voluminous fissure eruptions. Shantser and Kraevaya (1980) described a large tectonic–magmatic depression located in upper stream section of the Avacha and Kavycha rivers. However, in later publications, the existence of depression was not confirmed. Aliskerov (1980), based on the similarity of ore deposits and pyroclastic rocks, identified the Avachinsko–Ketkoyusk uplift zone. According to the geological map of Eastern Kamchatka, Sheimovich and Markovsky (2000) linked the genesis of ignimbrites to a single strong explosion with simultaneous magma chamber collapse. Using locations of faults, Egorov (2009) determined the
Avachinsky–Ganalsky center of sub-aerial mafic volcanism.

Our field work along the Levaya Avacha and Kavycha rivers commenced in 2009. First results of geological studies and whole-rock chemistry were presented by Kuvikas and Rogozin (2009), Rogozin and Kliapitskiy (2010), Leonov et al. (2011) and Kliapitskiy (2014). Dating of ignimbrites, determination of the paleovolcano type as a caldera and calculations of erupted materials volume were given by Leonov et al. (2011). Preliminary comparative analysis of geochemical composition of VC with other Kamchatkan calderas was published by Rogozin et al. (2011). Based on stratigraphical positions of the layers, some of the ignimbrites were correlated with each other (Bergal-Kuvikas et al. 2016a). The main results of the VC study were presented on a conference by Bergal-Kuvikas et al. (2016b).

In this article we use the term paleovolcano according to definition by Aprelkov et al. (2005). In active arcs, such as Kamchatka, the pyroclastic deposits of paleovolcanoes (1) are strongly eroded, (2) show displacements due to active seismicity, (3) are covered by Holocene rocks, (4) preserved deposits represent only fragments of pyroclastic rocks on flat plateaus and (5) most of them show hydrothermal activity (Aprelkov et al. 2005).

According to geological mapping by Kamchatka Geological Survey in

**Fig. 3** Outcrops in the headwaters of the Levaya Avacha and Kavycha rivers taken from helicopter. Locations of presented outcrops are shown on Fig. 2. Layers numbers on photos and types of the rocks correspond to same sample numbers as in Fig. 4. Photos illustrate eroded sections of volcano-sedimentary deposits with interbedded layers of ignimbrites and volcaniclastic deposits. Continuous, rigid layers are probably massive, volatile-enriched pyroclastic flows that could have travelled far. Whitish layers are volcaniclastic deposits that are mainly concentrated between ignimbrites. Dips of the sub-horizontal bedding of layers are less than 8°. This possibly means that the layers were formed on shield volcano with flat slopes.
2000s (Boyarinova et al. 2006), Late Miocene ignimbrites are widely distributed throughout the investigated area (Figs 2–5) around VC. Additionally, the porphyritic basalts and agglomerate tuffs (Fig. 2) were found in the basement. These rocks rest directly on Late Cretaceous siltstones and argillites (Shantser and Kraevaya 1980; Sheimovich and Markovsky 2000) and thus may record, at least locally, initiation of the volcanic activity since

Fig. 4 Stratigraphic sections of outcrops (see Fig. 2 for their locations Fig. 3 for their photos).
accretion of the Kronotsky Arc Terrane at 7 Ma ago (Lander and Shapiro 2007). Complicating the local structure on the west side, there is a horst transition into an arc-perpendicular graben of the Avacha river. Quaternary Bakening and Zavaritsky volcanoes and numerous areal cinder cones belong to this graben (Fig. 6). The throws of boundary faults surrounding this graben are estimated at several hundreds of meters (Legler 1976).

3. Samples and analytical methods

3.1. Sampling

In order to study the origin of recently recognized massive (>500 meters thickness) pyroclastic deposits upstream of the Avacha and Kavycha rivers, we conducted fieldwork in 2009–2012 and 2014, and an additional he-
licopter reconnaissance flight in 2019. The area has no accessible roads and fieldwork is challenging partly due to dense vegetation. Active uplift and erosion produced incised river valleys that enabled us to observe deep sections of many natural outcrops in the field. Using satellite images and geological maps, and observations from the helicopter, we chose five representative outcrops located in different parts of the investigated area (Figs 2–4). We noted the positions and altitude of sampling points using GPS, and described the rock types. Using these descriptions and additional observations of ridges and satellite photos, we correlated the sections and made detailed geological maps of the area (20 × 20 km) focusing on eruptive history and emplacement mechanism. Annual field works during five years enabled us to obtain a representative rock collection, study the contacts between the different geological suites, discover the presence of large volumes of ignimbrites and define their precise areal distribution. We documented stratigraphic relations of the deposits, lithological facies and included their photographs. To avoid influence of contact alterations between the faces we collected samples from inner parts of the layers. Most attention was spent to select samples without clasts. The sample locations are shown in Figs 2 and 4.

### 3.2. Whole-rock geochemistry

Major- and trace-element contents of whole rocks were analyzed at Geoscience Centre (GZG), Göttingen University. In order to minimize contamination during the crushing, we used an agate pounder. We analyzed glassy homogenous rock fragments from black and dense ignimbrite layers. Major and some trace elements (Sc, V, Cr, Co, Ni, Zn, Ga, Sr, Zr, Ba) in 24 samples were determined by standard X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis on glass discs prepared with a sodium tetraborate flux. International standards (BCR 1, BE-N) were analyzed together with unknown samples. Analytical errors for major elements are less than 1 %, for trace elements around 5 %, and for loss on ignition (LOI) better than 7.2 %.
3.3. Age dating

The $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating of groundmass in the ignimbrite samples was performed at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Rare Gas Geochronology Lab (B. Jicha, analyst) under the framework of a joint project for the study of large-volume caldera-forming eruptions in Kamchatka (Bindeman et al. 2010, 2019). In order to estimate the age of early stage of caldera-forming eruptions we analyzed the lowermost ignimbrite layers (01L-2009, 25L-2009) in outcrops (Fig. 3).

Only a few zircons could have been separated from the same samples and dated by U–Pb method using the Cameca 1270 ion microprobe at Standford University.

The details of Ar–Ar dating of groundmass of ignimbrites and U–Pb dating of zircons are described in Bindeman et al. (2010, 2016).

4. Results

4.1. Stratigraphy and characteristics of pyroclastic deposits and volcaniclastic deposits

Structural differences, colors and contents of the volcaniclastic deposits enable us to distinguish two main lithofacies occurring on the observed natural outcrops (Figs 5–6). Because the observed layers from both lithofacies are interbedded, the two facies clearly coexisted, were both equally widespread and eroded/uplifted together. We can identify two facies based on the same characteristics of their formation and deposition mechanism (Tab. 1).

The first lithofacies includes pyroclastic rocks, in which fragments were produced by explosive fragmentation (Fig. 5). Black, dense, massive lava-like ignimbrite layers dominate the VC pyroclastic rocks. Columnar jointing occurs in most of the observed layers. The columns are typically perpendicular to the contacts with lower layer (Fig. 5a–b). The thickness of individual layers reaches up to several tens of meters. Some ignimbrite layers contain black glassy fiamme (Fig. 5c), others white ones. Sizes of identified fiamme range from few to few tens of centimeters (Fig. 5c–d). The clasts of 15–20 cm in diameter are poorly sorted and distributed chaotically with no obvious grading within individual beds. The clasts represent fragmented blocks of surrounding ignimbrites (Fig. 5e). In this work we define basaltic andesite–andesite ignimbrites (with ~ 56 wt. % SiO$_2$), as deposits that have several of the characteristic features. In the field they are typically looking as welded ignimbrite sheets forming colonnades each consisting of chaotic pumices, scoria and fiamme. Such an appearance distinguishes them from much more typical basaltic lavas of any kind: agglomerate, agglutinated, and plateau-type.

The second common lithofacies is represented by chaotic volcaniclastic deposits (Fig. 6) that were produced by weathering and erosion of solidified/lithified volcanic rocks of any types (Fisher 1961; McPhie et al. 1993). In the VC occur yellow–orange, reworked, altered coarse crystal-rich volcaniclastic deposits. They contain variously sized, rounded clasts of welded pumices and ignimbrites enclosed in a finer groundmass. Thickness of these layers, interbedded between ignimbrite layers, vary from few to tens of meters (Fig. 6). Matrix of the layers in the lowermost sections is massive and contains less than 3–5 % of clays with more than 25 % of rounded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Pyroclastic (ignimbrites)</th>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Volcaniclastic deposits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field observations</td>
<td>Columnar jointing, black densely welded “lava-like” ignimbrite layers, Black, white fiamme observed.</td>
<td>White, yellow cemented, non-welded tuffs in matrix with various clasts.</td>
<td>Vary from few meters to tens of meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of layers</td>
<td>Vary from few meters to tens of meters</td>
<td>Pumice and ignimbrite clasts</td>
<td>0.5–1.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>Dense, same like ignimbrites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sizes</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometries, forms</td>
<td>Rounded</td>
<td>Rounded</td>
<td>Chaotic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributions</td>
<td>Chaotic</td>
<td>Chaotic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorting</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textures</td>
<td>Welded, hyalopilitic, eutaxitic</td>
<td>Pyroclastic (mixture of ignimbrite fragments and pumice with tuffs in matrix)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope observations</td>
<td>Broken crystals of plagioclase, clinopyroxene and olivine</td>
<td>Deformed, broken plagioclases crystals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenocrysts</td>
<td>Oriented plagioclase microcrystals, fiamme</td>
<td>Sulfide mineralization, quartz veinlets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
clasts. Clasts sizes range from 0.5 to 1.5 m (Fig. 6a–b). Other layers, mostly in upper parts of sections, are free of any larger clasts (Fig. 6c). The deposits are ill-sorted and non-graded. Distribution of these volcaniclastic deposits is rather irregular and counts of these layers vary among individual outcrops. Some of outcrops are significantly sodded, but at least one layer of volcaniclastic deposits occurs in section L-2012 and there are five in section L-2010 (Fig. 4).

4.2. Petrography, dating and whole-rock chemistry

The welded ignimbrites (e.g. L-2009-05, L-2012-74) contain broken, irregular plagioclase and clinopyroxene phenocrysts. Plagioclase phenocrysts reach up to 0.6 mm, clinopyroxenes are 0.15–0.20 mm in diameter. The matrix contains oriented plagioclase microlites in a hyalopilitic texture (Fig. 7a–b). The shards of phenocrysts are deformed, stretched and compacted. The groundmass of crystal-rich volcanigenic deposits consists of deformed and irregular plagioclase crystals. These rocks are characterized by significant secondary hydrothermal alteration of the matrix with quartz veinlets. The alteration is accentuated also by sulfide mineralization of groundmass (Fig. 7b–d).

The ages of ignimbrites at the lower and middle parts of the observed intracaldera succession were determined by Ar–Ar dating to 5.78 ± 0.22 Ma (2009L-01) and 5.58 ± 0.03 Ma (2009L-25), respectively (Leonov et al. 2011; Fig. 4, Tab. 2).

The whole-rock major- and trace-element compositions (Tab. 3) show that ignimbrites vary from basaltic
andesite to andesite (Fig. 8a), with a marked decrease in FeO*, CaO, MgO, and TiO₂ with rising silica (e.g., Fig. 8b). In comparison with other ignimbrite fields in Kamchatka, the rocks from VC are characterized by higher contents of MgO, Nb and Sr (Fig. 8c–d), as well as lower contents of SiO₂ and alkalis (Fig. 8a). Thus the rocks from the newly discovered caldera have less siliceous and more magnesian compositions compared to products of other caldera-forming eruptions in Kamchatka.

4.3. Spatial distribution of the ignimbrites, volcanioclastic deposits and delineation of eroded volcanic edifice

The succession of pyroclastic deposits (>500 meters of exposed total thickness) is distributed over a wide area of the Avachinsky Range (Fig. 2). Natural outcrops show interbedded layers of black dense ignimbrites (Fig. 5) and white-yellow volcanogenic sedimentary deposits (Fig. 6). The thicknesses of single layers range from 1–2 to 10–20 meters. Contacts between layers are sharp and well-defined. Volcanioclastic deposits are distributed very irregularly. Thicknesses of the rocks, and their variations in space enable us to distinguish intra- and extra-caldera deposits.

Pyroclastic rocks of intra-caldera succession cover an area c. 10–12 km across, and are more than 1 km thick based on outcrop observations and drilling of pyroclastic rocks near the Avachinsky lake, inside the boundary of volcano (Shantser and Kraevaya 1980). The ignimbrites compositionally similar to the VC were also found north of Mt. Skalistyi, in the south-eastern slopes of Mt. Dvugorbyi, and suggest that the extent of these rocks exceeds...
### Tab. 3 Whole-rock compositions of the representative ignimbrite samples from VC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latitude (N)</td>
<td>53.941365°</td>
<td>53.904691°</td>
<td>53.946111°</td>
<td>53.920078°</td>
<td>53.923981°</td>
<td>53.907296°</td>
<td>53.944413°</td>
<td>53.916662°</td>
<td>53.916181°</td>
<td>53.910431°</td>
<td>53.909070°</td>
<td>53.902736°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude (E)</td>
<td>158.314630°</td>
<td>158.293839°</td>
<td>158.326755°</td>
<td>158.317447°</td>
<td>158.311975°</td>
<td>158.292895°</td>
<td>158.272050°</td>
<td>158.272050°</td>
<td>158.359189°</td>
<td>158.339607°</td>
<td>158.247670°</td>
<td>158.249734°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude, meters</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>1314</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>1736</td>
<td>1813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Major elements, wt. %

- **SiO₂**: 54.09 55.35 53.31 50.71 52.79 55.98 53.65 53.35 52.18 53.66 57.71 55.61
- **TiO₂**: 0.79 0.87 1.13 0.97 0.80 0.94 0.94 0.80 0.87 0.95 0.74 0.74
- **Al₂O₃**: 4.82 6.80 10.89 9.49 7.05 6.89 6.92 7.05 6.82 6.94 6.04 5.93
- **Fe₂O₃t**: 7.82 8.65 10.89 9.49 7.05 6.89 6.92 7.05 6.82 6.94 6.04 5.93
- **MnO**: 0.12 0.14 0.13 0.11 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.14 0.11 0.14 0.13 0.16
- **MgO**: 6.79 4.49 8.16 5.53 5.97 4.22 8.10 5.57 6.43 8.35 6.84 5.14
- **CaO**: 8.42 7.82 8.66 8.41 7.76 7.98 8.57 8.05 7.86 7.11 7.11
- **K₂O**: 1.32 1.47 1.36 1.19 1.52 1.62 1.15 1.07 1.47 1.71 1.71 1.71
- **P₂O₅**: 0.25 0.31 0.24 0.39 0.23 0.31 0.21 0.25 0.23 0.27 0.25 0.19
- **L.O.I.**: 2.48 1.24 1.66 4.18 5.74 1.20 0.37 2.80 6.11 2.00 2.50 4.14
- **Sum**: 100.91 101.25 100.30 100.46 99.75 100.57 100.80 100.56 100.50 100.41 101.17

#### Trace elements, ppm

- **Ba**: 385 342 412 403 406 367 329 380 327 443 408 432
- **Ce**: 18 22 18 21 23 22 22 22 25 20 24 19
- **Co**: 33 25 35 31 28 22 33 25 31 30 19 29
- **Cr**: 260 69 368 243 70 293 66 239 98 49 294
- **Cu**: 163 91 120 199 51 84 69 47 117 123 79 76
- **Ga**: 17 19 16 18 15 18 18 18 17 19 18 16
- **Hf**: 2.70 3.50 2.40 3.30 2.40 3.40 3.00 2.70 2.80 2.80 3.50 3.40
- **La**: 10 13 11 14 9 14 7 7 10 11 12 12
- **Mo**: 0.90 1.10 0.80 1.70 0.80 1.70 0.60 1.00 0.80 1.30 1.50 1.40
- **Nb**: 3.90 5.10 4.40 9.20 3.10 5.70 4.30 4.50 4.60 3.40 6.20 4.20
- **Nd**: 8.90 13.40 8.80 18.30 8.40 13.50 9.60 13.00 9.60 3.00 9.60
- **Ni**: 100 29 118 49 69 33 112 13 90 61 23 106
- **Pb**: 4.50 4.50 5.60 4.30 4.40 5.60 4.80 5.60 5.40 5.20 6.90 5.50
- **Rb**: 18 33 17 11 24 36 20 31 24 17 39 120
- **S**: 10 610 10 135 3 308 302 10 54 10 101 44
- **Sc**: 23 27 25 25 21 20 24 24 22 22 17 21
- **Sm**: 3.30 3.80 2.80 4.90 3 4.60 3.60 3.20 3.30 3.40 3.90 2.80
- **Sr**: 710 548 635 583 747 529 512 616 721 746 522 509
- **Th**: 1.60 3.20 1.70 3.10 1.40 2.20 2.00 1.60 1.70 1.80 2.70 1.30
- **U**: 0.50 1.00 0.50 0.80 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.60 0.50
- **V**: 188 236 198 246 168 218 205 239 182 215 174 171
- **Y**: 14.80 19.90 15.60 23.50 12.30 21.30 20.00 18.40 17.00 17.80 21.00 17.20
- **Yb**: 1.90 2.00 1.80 2.20 2.00 1.90 1.80 2.20 1.80 2.00 1.80 1.70
- **Zn**: 81 82 86 117 81 80 102 73 66 87 67 78
- **Zr**: 96 111 93 157 86 120 111 92 100 102 141 117
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>28L-2014</th>
<th>64L-2010</th>
<th>68L-2010</th>
<th>72L-2010</th>
<th>73L-2012</th>
<th>79L-2012</th>
<th>80L-2012</th>
<th>82L-2012</th>
<th>86L-2012</th>
<th>93L-2010</th>
<th>94L-2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latitude (N)</td>
<td>5 3.903502°</td>
<td>5 3.918515°</td>
<td>5 3.919981°</td>
<td>5 3.921712°</td>
<td>5 3.875004°</td>
<td>5 3.880278°</td>
<td>5 3.878251°</td>
<td>5 3.867727°</td>
<td>5 3.856745°</td>
<td>5 3.864935°</td>
<td>5 3.865544°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude (E)</td>
<td>158.250790°</td>
<td>158.208728°</td>
<td>158.210520°</td>
<td>158.213992°</td>
<td>158.262018°</td>
<td>158.250803°</td>
<td>158.247740°</td>
<td>158.274844°</td>
<td>158.294113°</td>
<td>158.283956°</td>
<td>158.268769°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude, meters</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>1611</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>1353</td>
<td>1756</td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>1149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major elements, wt. %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>54.89</td>
<td>55.74</td>
<td>57.58</td>
<td>52.23</td>
<td>58.67</td>
<td>56.94</td>
<td>55.93</td>
<td>59.53</td>
<td>59.71</td>
<td>53.31</td>
<td>56.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.I.</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace elements, ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>9.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>15.70</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>16.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>25.10</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>22.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14 km from north to south (Fig. 2). Within the studied area, the deposits have nearly horizontal bedding and this makes possible to recognize boundaries over large distances. We determine intra-caldera deposits based on alternating layers of ignimbrites and volcaniclastic deposits. The thickness of outcropping successions of interbedded layers exceeds 500 meters inside the caldera. In the northern part the volcanogenic layers dip 10–12°, while in the eastern part their dip decreases to 5–6° (Fig. 2). We suggest that asymmetric distribution of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>U-Pb age, Ma</th>
<th>1σ</th>
<th>% radiogenic</th>
<th>Th, ppm</th>
<th>U, ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25L_z_1</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25L_z_4</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25L_z_2</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 4 U–Pb ages of zircon xenocrysts in sample 25L-2009
ignimbrites reflects movements along the regional faults. We conclude that this newly described boundary of paleovolcano currently has tectonic boundaries in the north-east, because regional fault distorted primary bedding of the layers and dips of the layers increase in this direction (Fig. 2). All other boundaries are just naturally eroded sections with decreasing thicknesses of the layers towards the margin of the edifice.

Surviving extra-caldera deposits are distributed over a large area of the EVB (Fig. 1) with individual pyroclastic layers significantly thinner than in intra-caldera deposits as the exposed thickness does not exceed several meters. One of the representative outcrops of the extra-caldera deposits is Mt. Stol, located 50 km NNE of the VC (Fig. 1). The Ar–Ar age of Mt. Stol is 3.71 ± 0.08 Ma (Leonov et al. 2008), younger than VC, but it also consists of mafic ignimbrites with 56–57 wt. % SiO$_2$ (Bindeman et al. 2010). Paleomagnetic data from ignimbrites from Mt. Stol have the same characteristics as ignimbrites from Karymsky and Shymnyi creeks, in the basin of the Levaya Zhupanova and Pravaya Zhupanova rivers (Fig. 1) (Shantser and Kraevaya 1980). Outcrops on Mt. Stol show regular continuous changes in environmental conditions from submarine continental slope with agglomerates, boulders, pebbles to subaerial volcanism with ignimbrites and lava flows (Bergal-Kuvikas et al. 2019). Towards the top of the outcrops, ignimbrite layers are interspersed with conglomerates, which can be interpreted as reflecting a period of intense denudation by water between caldera-forming eruptions.

4.4. Relations of pyroclastic deposits with older (pre-caldera stage) and younger (post-caldera stage) rocks

The pyroclastic rocks rest on the Miocene porphyritic basalts, agglomerate tuffs, as well as Late Cretaceous siltstones and argillites (Shantser and Kraevaya 1980; Sheimovich and Markovsky 2000) (Fig. 2). Outcrops with contact between the pyroclastic deposits and agglomerates are located in the western part of the Avachinsky Range, along the Pad’ Glubokaya river (Fig. 9). Not surprisingly, the only a few zircons that could have been recovered from the ignimbrites are Cretaceous xenocrysts (Tab. 4).

Due to active uplift of the area (Nikolaev 1977), Avacha river graben formation, arc-perpendicular and other tectonic forces, primary bedding in studied area is disturbed more than 1.5 km away from the fault areas. Additionally, during the Avacha river graben formation, the Pliocene or younger sheets and extrusive domes were emplaced over the pyroclastic deposits (Legler 1976) (Figs 2, 10). Monogenetic volcanism with numerous cinder cones associated with displacement of regional faults was active in Late Pleistocene–Holocene times (Dirksen and Melekestsev 1999).

5. Discussion

5.1. Determining the type of the Verkhneavachinsky paleovolcano

Based on data presented so far, we interpret the Verkhneavachinsky paleovolcano (Fig. 1) as a shield paleovolcano with a summit caldera using the following arguments: (1) widespread distribution of the caldera-produced deposits; (2) continuous basaltic–andesitic ignimbrite layers without breaks covering almost the whole area; (3) sub-horizontal bedding of ignimbrites and volcaniclastic deposits suggestive that the slopes of the volcano were not steep as on stratovolcanoes. Instead they resembled the gentle slopes of a caldera with a wide crater, like on shield volcanoes.

Minimal volume of erupted pyroclastic deposits and the scale of the newly defined caldera are possible to estimate using the correlation of the dense rock equivalent (DRE) and the caldera area (Mason et al. 2004). We assume that paleocaldera has a circular form of intra-caldera deposits with a minimum diameter of ~10 km. The area of this circle was calculated as 78.5 km$^2$ ($S = \pi r^2$). Intersection of the calculated area of the caldera and DRE gives us ~220–230 km$^3$ of pyroclastic deposits.
Using the collapse caldera criteria of Lipman (2000), we define the VC as a large shield caldera. Compared with other known large volcanoes and calderas of Kamchatka (Bindeman et al. 2010), the VC is one of the oldest and largest presently known morphologically preserved calderas (Fig. 11).

Continuous, massive ignimbrite layers cover significant area around the VC. The distribution of the VC pyroclastic deposits and ignimbrites centered at the VC means that the eruptions were generated from a single vent and the pyroclastic flows were energetic enough. This was likely because they were enriched in gases and had high temperatures, allowing a transport over long distances from their source. Such an interpretation is consistent with Henry and Wolff’s (1992) idea that caldera-generated boil-over lava-like ignimbrites form thin layers (up to a few meters thick) in their distal portions. In case of VC, the basaltic–intermediate ignimbrites cover a wide area in the southern part of the EVB. Commonly, basaltic andesites coexist with basaltic trachyandesites on VC (Fig. 8).

Experiments for gases extracted during fusion of alkali volcanic glasses substantiate that higher CO$_2$ + SO$_2$ contents, with relatively smaller proportions of H$_2$O, are released than in calc-alkaline volcanic glasses (Bailey and Hampton 1990). This means that alkalis should be regarded as mobile elements in magma, forming gas-enriched melts with potentially high explosive potential.

The original VC caldera ring-fault is not preserved due to erosion and significant uplift of the area (Leonov et al. 2011). For this reason, it is difficult to reconstruct the type of the pre-existing volcanic structure and classify its type (a single collapse caldera, a large shield volcano with overlapping caldera or any of the more complex types). It is well known that in many basaltic volcanoes, such as Hawaii, later eruptions flood and bury the preexisting calderas. Additionally, in areas with significant glacial erosion and subglacial eruptions, such as in eroded Icelandic volcanoes, caldera’s walls are commonly impossible to trace precisely as these are obscured by faulting and post-caldera intrusions (e.g. Gudmundsson 1998; Browning and Gudmundsson 2015). Only the oblique displacement of eroded pyroclastic materials could be helpful to determine the type of paleovolcano as a shield caldera. Summarizing the above-listed arguments, we propose that the Verkhneavachinsky paleovolcano was a voluminous shield volcano with a collapse caldera on the top.

### 5.2. Origin of pyroclastic and volcaniclastic deposits

There is a reason why ignimbrites and welded tuffs worldwide are predominantly of more silicic composition than the VC. Upon eruption, the rapid quenching of pyroclastic fragments causes them to cool below the glass transition temperature (Tg), thus preventing their welding and flow upon compaction (Dingwell and Webb 1990; Freundt 1998). Studies of 389 natural hydrous melts suggested that Tg is (1) strongly reduced with increasing water content and (2) dependent on cooling history (Hess and Dingwell 1996; Giordano et al. 2005). As both these temperatures are lower for silicic magmas, and water contents are typically higher, welding occurs predominantly in more silicic rocks. In order to make mafic pyroclastic material to weld, the eruption must be
fast enough to maintain a temperature above $T_g$, and have also enough water to be pyroclastic (and not effusive) and also to aid welding. A fast eruption may occur in response to the massive evacuation from a caldera.

Observed columnar jointing of the VC ignimbrite facies implies a high emplacement temperature, as the magma was hot enough to deform and stretch vesiculated glasses and form glassy fiamme. Welded, hyalopilitic, or eutaxitic textures of the ignimbrites suggest that pyroclastic flows were hot when ignimbrites were emplaced because ash and scoria fragments were still plastic and malleable. Plagioclase microcrysts formed before and during the eruption in the moving flows, as they became oriented according to pyroclastic flows directions. Experimental data from Ryan and Sammis (1981) show that the $T_g$ of basaltic glass is 725 °C, and partial melting (thus clast welding) occurs at $T > 980$ °C, suggesting that the emplacement temperature for VC rocks was in between 725 and 980 °C. Considering that Holocene Kamchatkan basalts, like many other basalts worldwide, commonly erupt at $\sim 1250$–$1270$ °C when drawn from thick continental arcs (Bergal-Kuvikas et al. 2017), the temperature interval between eruption and cooling is adequate for welding to occur should the right eruption conditions be maintained.

The reason why VC magmas erupted as pyroclastic ignimbrites and not lavas or occasional agglutinates is likely related to higher concentrations of volatiles and their behavior during magma degassing. Considering that VC has higher alkali contents in mafic magmas, the formation of gas-enriched pyroclastic flows was likely. Additionally, peculiarities of the Kamchatkan subduction zone result in one of the largest variations of volatiles in island-arc basalts. For example, Holocene Klyuchevskoy volcano yielded up to 7 wt. % H$_2$O variations in basalts (Auer et al. 2009). It can be assumed that contents of volatiles at initial stage of EVB during the Miocene were much larger, because thickness of the crust was minimal. This could explain why basaltic andesitic magmas were so explosive.

High-grade mafic ignimbrites are formed when pyroclastic flows remain well above the minimum welding temperature during their transport and deposition. High temperatures correspond to low magma viscosities, enabling particle coalescence and deformation to form a deposit that appears lava-like (Freundt and Schmincke 1995). The presence of water, and generally more alkali-rich compositions (often also F- and Cl-rich), should help in lowering the glass transition and solidus temperatures and thus enable welding. On the other hand, the column joints of ignimbrites developed in response to contraction during long-term cooling and colomnade orientations define cooling surfaces, and thus also directions of primary emplacement. The absence of gradation in pyroclastic material and its density variations (with the densest material at the bottom and ash at the top of ignimbrite) (Fig. 5) testifies to the fast welding of chaotically emplaced flow deposits of the ignimbrites, without fountaining of vertical eruption column sorting (Sparks et al. 1973). However, the mechanism forming the observed thin (several meters in thickness) welded ignimbrites remains an open question. We believe that these reflect mainly (1) high temperatures of pyroclastic flows, (2) relatively alkali-rich basaltic melts, capable of producing volatile-enriched magmas and at least (3) volatiles-driven decrease in $T_g$, enabling formation of ignimbrites with basaltic–andesitic compositions.

Volcaniclastic deposits are widely distributed on all outcrops. They are continuously interbedded with ignimbrite layers across all intra-caldera deposits (Fig. 2). This means that the processes forming pyroclastic and volcaniclastic deposits were pulsatory and acted repeatedly one after another during the entire volcano formation. Stratigraphic records of interbedded layers of volcaniclastic deposits and ignimbrites testify for their joint genesis or syn-eruptive sediment accumulation and ignimbrite formation. Syn-eruptive volcanogenic accumulation means immediate or subsequent reworking and accumulation of pyroclastic deposits by surface processes, including wearing and erosion (Manville et al. 2009). Volcanogenic facies is composed of texturally unmodified clasts, compositionally identical to the surrounding ignimbrite layers. The clasts were thus autoclasts sensu McPhie (1993). These volcaniclastic deposits are primarily made of particles generated by in situ, non-explosive fragmentation. Cemented, non-welded matrix-supported volcaniclastic deposits with rounded clasts of ignimbrites suggest for transportation by water-saturated flows. Clear, sharp boundaries between lithofacies indicate distinct processes of formation and accumulation. Thus, one of the possible genetic models for the VC assumes continuous, long-term eruptions of hot pyroclastic flows (that generated the ignimbrites) and post-eruption volcaniclastic deposits accumulation (e.g. formation of lahars).

Observed differences in volcaniclastic deposits, e.g. existence or absence of the clasts, as well as variations in pyroclastic density currents, enable us to discuss the origin of vertical zonation in volcaniclastic deposits. Lower parts of natural outcrops, represented by cemented, non-welded tuffs with various sizes of the clasts (Fig. 6d), record beginning of the volcano formation. In opposite, upper parts of outcrops are observed fine tuffs without any clasts inside (Fig. 6c). Possible explanation of observed vertical zonation is progressive growth of a volcanic edifice during the time. Studies of eroded volcanoes suggested that there are some tendencies of changes in dense pyroclastic currents from the source of eruptions to the growing stage of the volcano (Manville
et al. 2009). At initial stage of volcano formation, close to the vent formed some massive rocks (e.g. lava flows, autoclastic and pyroclastic breccias and hypabyssal intrusions) and then pyroclastic materials pass laterally into medial apron associations (e.g. pyroclastic-flow, debris-avalanche, debris- and hyperconcentrated flow (lahar) deposits). Finally developed the association of braided to meandering fine, thin materials (e.g. fluvial system deposits, overbank alluvium, and interbedded tephras) (Manville et al. 2009).

5.3. Contribution of the newly identified Verkhneavachinskaya caldera to understanding the geodynamic setting of Kamchatka

The newly identified and reconstructed VC, with voluminous welded basaltic–andesitic ignimbrites, opens many questions about origin and evolution of magmatism in the central Kamchatka and also in similar environments worldwide. The genesis of such highly unusual mafic ignimbrites is likely related to specific conditions during magma genesis and eruptions (Robin et al. 1995; De Rita et al. 2002; Lohmar et al. 2010). The basement of the EVB contains two larger basaltic lava fields (along the Levaya Zhupanova river and on Tumrok Mt.) that were described by Shantser and Kraevaya (1980) (Fig. 4). The origin and geodynamic position of these lava fields are still discussed.

Comparison with other volcanic arcs, especially the Cascades in the western USA, helps to better understand the possible source of basaltic magmatism flare-ups in Kamchatka. Cascadia Arc is one of the active vol-

Fig. 12. Variations of fluid-immobile (La/Yb, Nb/La) and fluid-mobile (Ba/Th) ratios in EVB magmatic rocks of various ages. Compositions of OIB, E-MORB, and N-MORB are after Sun and McDonough (1989). Abbreviations: NWPS – north-west Pacific sediments, KKSS – Kamchatkan subducted sediment column according to Duggen et al. (2007).
canic arcs that were formed due to Siletzia Terrane accretion to the North American Continent between 50.5 and 45 Ma (Wells et al. 2014). This accretion produced voluminous basaltic eruptions with OIB signature, perhaps due to delamination and associated decompression melting of previously hydrated lower crust (Wells et al. 2014). In the case of Eastern Kamchatka, the accretion of Kronotsky Arc took place between 15 and 2 Ma (Lander and Shapiro 2007; Avdeiko and Bergal-Kuvikas 2015) and delamination could have similarly generated basaltic lava fields with OIB and adakitic signatures limited in time and space.

It was previously found for the central segment of EVB that the rocks in this region can be divided into two main groups of different age and chemical composition (Volynets et al. 1997, Hoernle et al. 2009). One group of basalts with age of 7–12 Ma was formed before the Kronotsky Arc accretion and is represented by OIB-like alkaline and transitional basalts with La/Yb = 7–38, Nb/La = 0.8–1.3, and Ba/Th = 40–140. Overlying second group of rocks with age 3–8 Ma was formed after the accretion and is represented by andesites and dacites with some adakite component and the following trace-element ratios: La/Yb = 7–17, Sr/Y = 53–68, Nb/La = 0.40–0.65, Ba/Th = 300–600 (Hoernle et al. 2009).

On the contrary, Shanster and Kraevaya (1980) suggested that faults and fissures, generated on a continental slope during the early stage of EVB formation in Miocene, were responsible for production of areally extensive volcanism on Tumrok Mt. and on Levaya Avacha river.

Some trace-element ratios of the VC and Mt. Stol ignimbrites (50.7–59.7 wt.% SiO₂, 3.71 ± 0.08 Ma – Leonov et al. 2008), presumably formed after the Kronotsky Arc Terrane accretion at 5.78–3.71 Ma, resemble the younger group (La/Yb = 3.2–10, Nb/La = 0.31–0.66). At the same time, other trace-element ratios (Sr/Y = 17–61, Ba/Th = 93–332) show overlap between the two groups (Fig. 12). These data have two possible interpretations: (1) VC tapped a mixed mantle source during transition from an old to a newly formed subduction zone under EVB or (2) following the Kronotsky Arc Terrane accretion, the erupted rocks had much larger chemical variations than previously expected in the studied area. More geochemical and isotope data are needed to answer this question.

According to Shanster and Kraevaya (1980), fields of basaltic–andesitic ignimbrites were found only in the basement of the EVB. Later, the composition of magmatic suites changed into a more continuous, calc-alkaline magmatic series with silicic ignimbrites and lava flows typical of arcs. Considering the Kronotsky Terrane accretion with the spatially and temporally limited basaltic inputs into the EVB, we suggest that voluminous, predominantly basic ignimbrites possibly formed soon after a subduction jump, during delamination and re-initiation of subduction in a new subduction zone (Fig. 12).

6. Conclusions

- Verkhneavachinskaya caldera is a Late Miocene (5.78–5.58 Ma, Ar–Ar age) basaltic caldera, one of the oldest and the largest morphologically-preserved calderas (10 × 12 km) in Kamchatka.
- From global perspective, Verkhneavachinskaya caldera has rather unusual basaltic andesite to andesite compositions of its ignimbrites.
- The described pyroclastic deposits are interpreted as important indicators for understanding geodynamic setting of Kamchatka Arc after Kronotsky Arc Terrane accretion and re-initiation of subduction zone or subduction jump in this area of Kamchatka. It was perhaps accompanied by delamination during initial stage of subduction zone formation.
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